	ry 1 01 13	
		1
1		
2	UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT	
3	SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK	
4	Case No. 05-44481-rdd	
5	x	
6	In the Matter of:	
7		
8	DELPHI CORPORATION,	
9		
10	Debtor.	
11		
12	x	
13		
14	United States Bankruptcy Court	
15	One Bowling Green	
16	New York, New York	
17		
18	July 20, 2007	
19	10:09 AM	
20		
21	BEFORE:	
22	HON. ROBERT D. DRAIN	
23	U.S. BANKRUPTCY JUDGE	
24		
25		

2 1 2 HEARING re Motion to Amend Proof of Claim of Robert Bosch GmbH 3 4 CLAIMS OBJECTION HEARING re Claim of Panasonic Automotive Systems Company of America, Division of Panasonic Corporation 5 6 of North America as Objected to on the Debtors' (I) Third 7 Omnibus Objection (Substantive) Pursuant to 11 U.S.C. Section 8 502(b) and Fed. R. Bankr. P. 3007 to Certain (A) Claims with 9 Insufficient Documentation; (B) Claims Unsubstantiated by 10 Debtors' Books and Records; and (C) Claims Subject to 11 Modification and (II) Motion to Estimate Contingent and 12 Unliquidated Claims Pursuant to 11 U.S.C. Section 502(c) 13 14 CLAIMS OBJECTION HEARING re Claim of Sierra Liquidity Fund LLC 15 as Assignee of Applied Tech Industries, Inc. as Objected to on the Debtors' Ninth Omnibus Objection (Substantive) Pursuant to 16 17 11 U.S.C. Section 502(b) and Fed. R. Bankr. P. 3007 to Certain (A) Insufficiently Documented Claims; (B) Claims Not Reflected 18 19 on Debtors' Books and Records; (C) Untimely Claims; and (D) 20 Claims Subject to Modification 21 22 23 24 25

3 1 CLAIMS OBJECTION HEARING re Claim of Sierra Liquidity Fund LLC 2 3 as Assignee of Fair-Rite Products Corporation as Objected to on the Debtors' Ninth Omnibus Objection (Substantive) Pursuant to 4 5 11 U.S.C. Section 502(b) and Fed. R. Bankr. P. 3007 to Certain 6 (A) Insufficiently Documented Claims; (B) Claims Not Reflected 7 on Debtors' Books and Records; (C) Untimely Claims; and (D) 8 Claims Subject to Modification 9 10 CLAIMS OBJECTION HEARING re Claim of Klash, Inc. as Objected to 11 on the Debtors' Ninth Omnibus Objection (Substantive) Pursuant to 11 U.S.C. Section 502(b) and Fed. R. Bankr. P. 3007 to 12 13 Certain (A) Insufficiently Documented Claims; (B) Claims Not 14 Reflected on Debtors' Books and Records; (C) Untimely Claims; 15 and (D) Claims Subject to Modification 16 17 CLAIMS OBJECTION HEARING re Claim of Bona Vista Programs, Inc. as Objected to on the Debtors' Eleventh Omnibus Objection 18 19 (Substantive) Pursuant to 11 U.S.C. Section 502(b) and Fed. R. 20 Bankr. P. 3007 to Certain (A) Insufficiently Documented Claims; 21 (B) Claims Not Reflected on Debtors' Books and Records; (C) 22 Untimely Claims; and (D) Claims Subject to Modification 23 24 25

. g . s. = s	$\neg$
	1
APPEARANCES:	
SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER & FLOM LLP	
Attorneys for Debtor	
333 West Wacker Drive	
Chicago, IL 60606	
BY: JOHN K. LYONS, ESQ.	
LISA B. DIAZ, ESQ.	
SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER & FLOM LLP	
Attorneys for Debtor	
Four Times Square	
New York, NY 10036	
THOMAS J. MATZ, ESQ.	
WARNER NORCROSS & JUDD LLP	
Attorneys for Robert Bosch	
111 Lyon Street NW	
Grand Rapids, MI 49503	
BY: GORDON J. TOERING, ESQ.	
(TELEPHONICALLY)	
	SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER & FLOM LLP Attorneys for Debtor 333 West Wacker Drive Chicago, IL 60606  BY: JOHN K. LYONS, ESQ. LISA B. DIAZ, ESQ.  SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER & FLOM LLP Attorneys for Debtor Four Times Square New York, NY 10036  THOMAS J. MATZ, ESQ.  WARNER NORCROSS & JUDD LLP Attorneys for Robert Bosch 111 Lyon Street NW Grand Rapids, MI 49503  BY: GORDON J. TOERING, ESQ.

5 1 PROCEEDINGS THE COURT: Okay. Delphi Corporation? 2 MR. LYONS: Good morning, Your Honor. John Lyons on 3 behalf of Delphi. I have Mr. Inru here in court with me and 4 Ms. Diaz and Mr. Matz on behalf of the debtors as well. 5 6 THE COURT: Okay. MR. LYONS: Well, Your Honor, as I like to do at the 7 8 start of these claims hearings, I like to hand up kind of a summary chart of where we are with claims and those claims that 9 10 have also been adjourned in the procedures. 11 THE COURT: Okay. 12 MR. LYONS: And I made some notes on there just to 13 highlight some of the numbers which, I think, you should focus 14 on. You know, number one, we're now up to nine billion in 15 claims that we've either had expunged, modified or ordered 16 allowed. And also, Your Honor, the number to the right are the 17 claims -- the 763 million are the claims that are currently in the procedures. 190 million of that number, though, is an 18 19 amount which we agree with since some of the claims were subject to modify and reduction, so we're really looking at a 20 21 net number of 573 million that are currently in the procedures. 22 We're well over half in resolving or adjudicating claims that have been adjourned into the procedures since we 23

VERITEXT/NEW YORK REPORTING COMPANY 212-267-6868 516-608-2400

We expect to even make more progress especially in the upcoming

started this process. So we are making very steady progress.

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

6 month both in claim amount and dollar amount. However, as we get to the bottom, some of the claims get a little thornier. And I know we have three claims that were scheduled for next August: H.E. Services, Whitney -- Gary Whitney and NuTech, which again, are being actively litigated. So unless those settle before the time of the claims hearing, those will require probably evidentiary testimony and argument. THE COURT: Okay. And that's scheduled for sometime in August? MR. LYONS: It was scheduled for August 30th but I understand the Court is unavailable on that date. So we're going to reach out to the three claimants to see if they would be able to have their trials on August 17th. Failing that, we have -- I understand from chambers that we have the September 6th and September 7th reserved so we would use those dates to adjudicate those claims. THE COURT: Okay. MR. LYONS: And, Your Honor, also although it's later in the agenda, we'd also like to hold September 7th for the adjourned motion that Bosch has filed which I'll go through shortly. With Your Honor's permission, if I could turn to the agenda? That's fine. THE COURT: Yes.

MR. LYONS: Your Honor, we have a number of claims that have been settled and I know that we've been submitting

those without hearings but since we were going to be here for Bosch, we'll quickly go through these.

First on the agenda, Your Honor, is the motion to amend proof of claim filed by Robert Bosch GmbH. We have agreed with Bosch to adjourn the hearing on the motion until September 7th. But we also entered into a joint stipulation and order to do some cleanup work. Bosch had filed two patent infringement claims, one on behalf of the German company, Bosch GmbH, and another on behalf of the U.S. company, Bosch LLC, both for fifteen million. We understood and Bosch clarified that really those -- they're not seeking a double recovery.

THE COURT: It's the same patent?

MR. LYONS: Yes, exactly. The same claims. So we've reached out to Bosch and agreed to, for administrative purposes, to put all those claims in GmbH. We would expunge the U.S. claim. And then there's also an additional claim held by the Bosch U.S. entity for trade and warranty claims which are about 1.3 million. We're going to keep that still alive.

But the important thing is, Your Honor, there have been some claims filed after the bar date and all -- both Bosch and the debtors are fully reserving all rights as to whether the claims are late, you know, whether -- standing issues as to who can assert the claims. So basically, we're going to ask Your Honor to address the merits of the claims by looking at the original proofs of claim that were filed. But for

8 1 administrative purposes we just want to have one fifteen million dollar patent claim. 2 THE COURT: Well, are you first going to have an 3 issue still on the whether the amendments can be made or are 4 you going to go right to the merits? 5 6 MR. LYONS: No, no. We're still preserving our 7 rights to contest the amendments for being late filed. 8 THE COURT: All right. 9 MR. LYONS: But for efficiency purposes -- well, Your 10 Honor, again, that's going to be deferred till September 7th --11 THE COURT: Okay. 12 MR. LYONS: -- unless there's some other consensual 13 resolution. 14 THE COURT: Okay. 15 MR. LYONS: And I've got a form of these -- we 16 actually signed the stipulation if Your Honor would like to 17 look at it, if Your Honor has any questions but we will enter them separately after the hearing. 18 19 THE COURT: All right. That's fine. I guess, the 20 only issue I have is -- it's not an issue, it's just a 21 management issue is if this is going forward on the 7th, is it 22 going to be a hearing on violation of a patent or is it going to be a hearing on whether a claim was properly amended? 23 24 MR. LYONS: Just the amendment, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay.

25

9 1 MR. LYONS: Bosch has reserved its rights to the 2 jurisdiction of the Court to take a look at the patent claim. 3 THE COURT: Okay. That's fine. MR. LYONS: And I believe Mr. Toering is on for 4 Bosch. 5 6 MR. TOERING: Yes, Your Honor, I am. THE COURT: Okay. And that's your understanding, 7 8 too? MR. TOERING: Yes, Your Honor. That's correct, Your 9 10 Honor. And just to confirm what Mr. Lyons already said, the 11 expungement of one of the Bosch claims does not have any substitute prejudicial effect on Bosch. It's simply to clear 12 13 up the claims register for the debtor. 14 THE COURT: Right. 15 MR. TOERING: And to somehow do away with substantive 16 rights. 17 THE COURT: Okay. Very well. That's fine. MR. LYONS: And one other clarification and 18 19 stipulation. The claim had said in excess of fifteen million 20 and Bosch has agreed that, no, the fifteen million is the cap. 21 It's not -- just a lower amount that they've agreed to cap 22 their U.S. pre-petition claim or their claim against the U.S. 23 debtors, the pre-petition patent claim, at fifteen million 24 dollars. THE COURT: All right. Is that reflected in the 25

10 1 stipulation or do we --MR. LYONS: That's in the stipulation. 2 THE COURT: Okay, fine. All right. Well, that will 3 4 get entered shortly then. Maybe today, hopefully today. MR. LYONS: Thank you, Your Honor. 5 MR. TOERING: Thank you, Your Honor. 6 THE COURT: Okay. 7 8 MR. LYONS: Okay. Now, we have a number of 9 settlements of claims objections and I'll be rather brief, Your 10 Honor. Panasonic filed at claim number -- pardon me, Your Honor, I got to -- lost my thought here. Right in front of me. 11 12 Panasonic filed proof of claim number 14318, asserted a 13 nonpriority claim in the amount of nine million plus against 14 DAS, LLC, also a reclamation claim. We've agreed to settle the 15 claim for shortly or a little below the figure that Panasonic 16 asserted and Panasonic would reserve the right to assert 78,000 17 dollars of that nine million that are claimed as a reclamation claim if it becomes relevant depending upon how reclamation 18 19 claims are treated in this case. 20 THE COURT: Okay. 21 MR. LYONS: So, Your Honor, that's one of the 22 settlements which Your Honor has. 23 THE COURT: Okay. 24 MR. LYONS: Another one relates to proof of claim 25 number 2440, which is held by Sierra Liquidity. They're an

assignee of Applied Technology Industries and we have agreed to allow that amount as a general unsecured claim in the amount asserted, 3,690 dollars and change.

Item number 4 on the agenda, Sierra Liquidity also holds a claim assigned to it by Fair-Rite Products Corporation. They asserted a claim of 16,275 dollars. We've agreed to allow it at 13,350 dollars against DAS, LLC and another 2,912 dollars against Delphi Mechatronic Systems LLC. So those stipulations Your Honor should have or will have after the hearing.

Item number 5 is the claim asserted by Klash, Inc.

They asserted an unsecured priority claim against Delphi Corp.

of 15,246. We have agreed to that amount but have classified

it as a nonpriority claim against DAS, LLC, not Delphi Corp.

So again, that is the stipulation for Klash, Inc. and agenda

item number 5.

And then finally, Your Honor, agenda item number 6.

Claim asserted by Bona Vista Programs, Inc. for 15,383 dollars against Delphi Corp. We've agreed to that amount but again, the entity against it -- it is now allowed will be against DAS, LLC so we've just changed the debtor entity.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. LYONS: And, Your Honor, that's all I have on my agenda. We'll reach out to the three claimants that I discussed to see if they can make themselves available August 17th and we'll let Your Honor know for the three contested

hearings that are coming down the pipeline. THE COURT: All right. That's fine. And you got a backup date just in case from chambers? MR. LYONS: September 6th and September 7th in case that does not work. THE COURT: Okay. All right. Very well. Thank you. MR. LYONS: Thank you, Your Honor. (Whereupon these proceedings were concluded at 10:19 a.m.) 

CERTIFICATION I Lisa Bar-Leib, court-approved transcriber, certify that the foregoing is a correct transcript from the official electronic sound recording of the proceedings in the above-entitled matter. July 22, 2007 Signature of Transcriber Date Lisa Bar-Leib typed or printed name